# A Biblical Picture of Christian Ethics Two Parts

Worldview Camp – Pine Haven Christian Assembly Resource Webpage: https://richknopp.com/worldviewcamp25/

Rich Knopp & Nicki Green Website: www.richknopp.com Executive Director, Room For Doubt (www.roomfordoubt.com) Email: Rich@RoomForDoubt.com

### Introduction

A.	Survey on moral absolutes. (Use the following symbol key to respond to items or
	screen.)

AW = Absolutely Wrong	MI: Morally Inexpedient
GW = Generally Wrong	MA = Morally Acceptable

- B. Cultural stats
  - I. Right/Wrong do NOT change.
  - 2. Is moral truth "absolute" or "relative"?
  - 3. Is lying morally wrong?
- C. Perceptions of morality.
- D. Christian Ethics.
  - I. It is based on a \_\_\_\_\_ God.
  - 2. It is \_\_\_\_\_\_ by God
    - a. Natural revelation
    - b. Special revelation
  - 3. It is grounded on God's unchanging \_\_\_\_\_\_ as perfectly good.
  - 4. It defends moral \_\_\_\_
- E. The four components of Christian ethics:
  - I. The \_\_\_\_\_
  - 2. The \_\_\_\_\_
  - 3. The \_\_\_\_\_
  - 4. The \_\_\_\_\_

#### I. Actions

- A. Old Testament commandments
  - I. Total commandments:
  - 2. The "most important" (Deut. 6:4; Matt. 22:35-39; Mark 12:28-31; Luke 10:25-28).
  - 3. The "least important" (Deut. 22:6).
  - 4. Categories of commands
    - a. Moral
    - b. Judicial (Ex. 21-22; Num. 35; Lev. 25)
    - c. Ceremonial (Lev. 1:1-9; Lev. 12:1-8; Ex. 29:1-9: Ex. 25-31)

- B. New Testament
  - I. Categories of commands
    - a. Čeremonial (Col. 2:13-17; Heb. 9-10)
    - b. Legal (Rom. 13:1-7)
    - c. Moral
  - 2. Actions that can prevent one from inheriting the kingdom of God (1 Cor. 6:9-10; Gal. 5:19-21; Eph. 5:3-5; Col. 3:5-6).

II.	The Agent						
	A.	The	e one the action.				
		The	e notion of: How God evaluates the				
		mo	rality of our actions.				
		١.	The of our action.				
		a. Matt. 6:1					
			b. Matt. 5:5 "When you pray" c. Matt. 5:16 "When you fast				
			d. Matt. 23:5				
		2.	One's own (Rom. 14:14,23)				
		A morally good ACT can be:					
			a. IF it is done with the wrong				
			b. IF it violates one's OWN				
III. The Consequences							
A. This is NOT utilitarianism.		Thi	is is NOT utilitarianism.				
		<ol> <li>Utilitarianism: The "right" action is ALWAYS what produces the greatest hap to the greatest number.</li> </ol>					
		2.	BUT, a biblical ethic only recognizes that consequences are SOMETIMES critical in determining what is "right" or "wrong."				
	B. Three main biblical considerations:		ree main biblical considerations:				
		Ι.	The principle (1 Cor. 10:23).				
		2.	The conscience of (1 Cor. 8:11-12).				
			The principle (Rom. 14:21; 1 Cor. 8:13).				
C. A morally GOOD ACT can be:		norally GOOD ACT can be:					
			IF it is a block to others.				
		2.	IF it makes someone else violate their				

## **IV. The Situation**

- A. This is NOT "situation ethics."
  - I. Situation ethics claims that right/wrong ALWAYS and ONLY depends on the situation.
  - 2. BUT, a biblical ethic recognizes that the situation is *SOMETIMES* critical in determining what is "right" or "wrong."

B.	Christian ethics:							
	١.		moral absolutes.					
	2.	Grants that there may be legitimately "_	moral absolutes"-					
		when a situation requires us to choose between moral absolutes.						
	3.	Acknowledges that some moral obligations are that others (Matt. 22:38; Matt. 23:3).						
C.	Perceptions of "SIN":							
	Ι.	All are (Jai	nes 2:10).					
		BUT, from another standpoint, this is biblically problematic.						
D.	The	The Bible clearly indicates that some sins are than						
	oth	others.						
	١.	"Greater" sin (Jn. 19:11).						
	2.	"Sin leading to death" and "sin not leading to death" (1 Jn. 5:16-17).						
	3.	Sin that demands disassociation (1 Cor. 5:9-13).						
	4.	Forgivable and "unforgivable" sin (Mk. 3:28-29; Heb. 6:4-6).						
	5.	More severe punishment for some sin (Heb. 10:29; Mk. 12:38-40).						
	6.	Punishment "with many blows" and "with few blows" (Lk. 12:47-48).						
	7.	Judgment will be "more bearable" for some than others (Matt. 11:21-24).						
E. Does any situation us to choose between conf		us to choose between conflicting moral						
	abs	absolutes?						
F.	If s	o, what guidance does the Bible provide?						
	١.	Choose the WEIGHTIER moral obligati	on.					
	2.	E.g., Obey God over	(Daniel 3-6; Acts 4).					
	3.	Save innocent life over	telling (Rahab in Joshua 2; the					
		Hebrew midwives in Exodus 1).						

## EXTRA CREDIT SECTION ON COMPETING FORMS OF CHRISTIAN ABSOLUTISM:<sup>1</sup>

- G. Competing forms of Christian absolutism.
  - I. Unqualified Absolutism
    - a. No unavoidable moral conflicts are NOT POSSIBLE.
    - b. Trust God to get you out of it.
    - c. If you violate a command, it is SINFUL.
  - 2. Qualified Absolutism
    - a. Unavoidable moral conflicts are POSSIBLE.
    - b. Do the "lesser evil."
    - c. Even if you do the "lesser evil," it is still SIN.
  - 3. Graded Absolutism
    - a. Unavoidable moral conflicts are POSSIBLE.
    - b. Do the "greater good."
    - c. If you do the "greater good," it is NOT SIN.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Advanced students can see Richard A. Knopp, "Moral Absolutes and Moral Worth: A Proposal for Christian Ethics Inspired by Norman Geisler," in *I Am Put Here for the Defense of the Gospel*, ed. Terry L. Miethe, 317-345.