A Biblical Picture of Christian Ethics Two Parts

Worldview Camp - Pine Haven Christian Assembly Resource Webpage: https://richknopp.com/worldviewcamp25/

Rich Knopp & Nicki Green Website: www.richknopp.com Executive Director, Room For Doubt (www.roomfordoubt.com) Email: Rich@RoomForDoubt.com

Int

I.

roc	duc	tion						
A.		vey on moral absolutes. (Use the follo een.)	owing symbol key to respond to items on					
A۱	W =	Absolutely Wrong	MI: Morally Inexpedient					
G'	W =	Generally Wrong	MA = Morally Acceptable					
B.	Cu	tural stats						
	١.	Right/Wrong do NOT change.						
	2.	2"?						
	3.	Is lying morally wrong?						
C.								
D.	Ch	ristian Ethics.						
	١.	It is based on a	TRANSCENDENT God.					
	2.	It isREVEALED						
		a. Natural revelation						
	_	b. Special revelation	2000					
	3.	It is grounded on God's unchanging	CHARACTER					
	1	as perfectly good.	ADCOLLITES					
_	4. It defends moral ABSOLUTES							
Ė.		The four components of Christian ethics:						
	l.							
	2. 3.	TheAGENT_						
		TheCONSEQUENCES_						
	4.	TheSITUATION						
Ac	tio	ns						
A.	Old	Old Testament commandments						
	Ι.	Total commandments:61	3					
		The "most important" (Deut. 6:4; Matt. 22:35-39; Mark 12:28-31; Luke 10:25-28).						
	3.	•						
	4.	• ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `						

b. Judicial (Ex. 21-22; Num. 35; Lev. 25)

Ceremonial (Lev. 1:1-9; Lev. 12:1-8; Ex. 29:1-9: Ex. 25-31)

- B. New Testament
 - I. Categories of commands
 - a. Ceremonial (Col. 2:13-17; Heb. 9-10)
 - b. Legal (Rom. 13:1-7)
 - c. Moral
 - 2. Actions that can prevent one from inheriting the kingdom of God (1 Cor. 6:9-10; Gal. 5:19-21; Eph. 5:3-5; Col. 3:5-6).

II.	The Agent							
	A.	. The one		DC	DOING		tion.	
	B.	The notion of		MOR	AL	W	ORTH	: How God
		evaluates the moral I. TheMC						
				MOTIVE		of our action	•	
			a. Matt.		.,			
				5:5 "When yo 5:16 "When y				
			d. Matt.		you last			
		2.	One's ow	nC	ONSCIENCE		(Rom	. 14:14,23)
						WRONG		
			a. IF it i	s done with th	ne wrong	MC	OTIVE	
		b. IF it violates one's OWN			CONSCIENCE .			
Ш	. Th	ie C	Conseque	ences				
	A. This is NOT utilitar							
		I. Utilitarianism: The "right" action is A		ALWAYS what i	produces the s	reatest happiness		
			to the greatest number.					
		2.	. BUT, a biblical ethic only recognizes that consequences are SOMETIMES critical i					ETIMES critical in
		determining what is "right" or "wrong."						
	B. Three main biblical considerations:							
		١.	The	EDIFI	CATION	P ¹	rinciple (I Cor	·. 10:23).
		2. The conscience ofOTHER		RS	(1 Cor. 8:11-12).			
		3.	The	STUMBLI	NG	principl	le (Rom. 14:21	; I Cor. 8:13).
	C.	Αn	norally GO	OD ACT ca	n be	WRONG		<u>:</u>
					lse violate the		CONSCIENCE	

IV. The Situation

- A. This is NOT "situation ethics."
 - I. Situation ethics claims that right/wrong ALWAYS and ONLY depends on the situation.
 - 2. BUT, a biblical ethic recognizes that the situation is SOMETIMES critical in determining what is "right" or "wrong."

B.	Christian ethics:							
	١.	Accepts	MUL	_TIPLE	moral abso	lutes.		
	2.	Grants that	there may be l	egitimately "	CONFLICTING	3		
			ıtes"—when a	situation requi	res us to choose bet	ween moral		
	_		absolutes.					
	3.	3. Acknowledges that some moral obligations are						
_	WEIGHTIER than others (Matt. 22:38; Matt. 23:3 Perceptions of "SIN":							
C.		•			(1 2.10)			
					(James 2:10).			
		2. BUT, from another standpoint, this is biblically problematic.						
D.		•	indicates that	some sins are	WOR	SE		
		n others.						
	١.	"Greater" si	n (Jn. 19:11).					
	2.	"Sin leading to death" and "sin not leading to death" (1 Jn. 5:16-17).						
	3.	Sin that demands disassociation (1 Cor. 5:9-13).						
	4.	Forgivable and "unforgivable" sin (Mk. 3:28-29; Heb. 6:4-6).						
	5.	More severe punishment for some sin (Heb. 10:29; Mk. 12:38-40).						
	6.	Punishment "with many blows" and "with few blows" (Lk. 12:47-48).						
	7.							
E.	Do	es any situation	on	FORCE	us to choose	e between conflicting		
	moral absolutes?							
F.	If so, what guidance does the Bible provide?							
	١.	Choose the	WEIGHTIER r	moral obligatio	n.			
	2.	E.g., Obey G Acts 4).	od over	GOVERNI	MENT	(Daniel 3-6;		
	3.	Save innocer	nt life over	TRUTI	<mark> tell</mark>	ing (Rahab in		
		Joshua 2; the	Hebrew midv	wives in Exodu	s I).			

EXTRA CREDIT SECTION ON COMPETING FORMS OF CHRISTIAN ABSOLUTISM:1

- G. Competing forms of Christian absolutism.
 - I. Unqualified Absolutism
 - a. No unavoidable moral conflicts are NOT POSSIBLE.
 - b. Trust God to get you out of it.
 - c. If you violate a command, it is SINFUL.
 - 2. Qualified Absolutism
 - a. Unavoidable moral conflicts are POSSIBLE.
 - b. Do the "lesser evil."
 - c. Even if you do the "lesser evil," it is still SIN.
 - 3. Graded Absolutism
 - a. Unavoidable moral conflicts are POSSIBLE.
 - b. Do the "greater good."
 - c. If you do the "greater good," it is NOT SIN.

¹ Advanced students can see Richard A. Knopp, "Moral Absolutes and Moral Worth: A Proposal for Christian Ethics Inspired by Norman Geisler," in *I Am Put Here for the Defense of the Gospel*, ed. Terry L. Miethe, 317-345.